Efficacy of oral corticosteroids for preschool wheeze: a meta-analysis of individual participant data from seven randomised controlled trials

Bohee Lee¹, Steve Turner², Meredith Borland^{3*}, Péter Csonka^{4*}, Jonathan Grigg^{5*}, Theresa Guilbert⁶*, Tuomas Jartti^{7,8,9}*, Abraham Oommen¹⁰*, Jonathan Twynam-Perkins¹¹, Steff Lewis¹²,

Steve Cunningham¹³ * listed in alphabetical order by surname

1Asthma UK Centre for Applied Research, Centre for Population Health Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

2Royal Aberdeen Children's Hospital, NHS Grampian, Aberdeen, UK

3Perth Children's Hospital Emergency Department and Divisions of Paediatrics and Emergency Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Western Australia, Australia 4Tampere Center for Child, Adolescent and Maternal Health Research, Faculty of Medicine and Health Technology, Tampere University, Tampere, Finland 5Centre for Genomics and Child Health, Queen Mary University of London, UK

6Division of Pulmonology Medicine, Cincinnati Children's Hospital & Medical Center, USA

7Department of Pediatrics, Turku University Hospital and University of Turku, Turku, Finland

8PEDEGO, Research Unit, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland

9Department of Pediatrics, Oulu University Hospital, Oulu, Finland

10Department of Paediatrics, Milton Keynes University Hospital NHS Trust, United Kingdom

11Department of Paediatric Respiratory and Sleep Medicine, Royal Hospital for Children and Young People, Edinburgh, UK

12Edinburgh Clinical Trials Unit, Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

13Asthma UK Centre for Applied Research, NHS Lothian, Centre for Inflammation Research, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

Introduction

Our systematic review of the effects of oral corticosteroids (OCS) on preschool wheeze found inconclusive effects due to high heterogeneity between RCTs. We conducted an Individual Participant Data (IPD) meta-analysis from RCTs to evaluate the efficacy of OCS for preschool wheeze treatment.

Methods

This systematic review was followed by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and individual participant data meta-analysis protocol. This protocol was registered on PROSPERO (CRD42020193958)

Databases: PubMed, Ovid EMBASE, CINAHLplus, Cochrane CENTRAL, Clinical Trials.gov, EudraCT, EU Clinical Trials Register and the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP) + Gray literature (Edinburgh Research Archive (ERA), Web of Science Core Collection and Open Grey (WSCCO), Electronic Theses Online Service (EthOS) and ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global (PQDT))

Eligibility criteria: All types of studies published between 1994 and 2020 reporting the efficacy of OCS treatment for preschool wheeze

Screening and selection of studies

Search strategy	Definition
Population	Children aged 12-71 months with acute wheeze
Intervention	OCS used to treat acute wheeze according to internation guidelines
Comparator	Placebo group that did not receive OCS
Outcome	Mainly, a wide range of measures were explored
Study design	Randomised controlled trials (RCTs), quasi-RCTs, cohort s studies (but not cross-sectional studies)

Data analysis: A two-stage meta-analysis, intention-to-treat approach In the first stage: multivariable regression models adjusting for age, history of allergy, parental allergies or asthma.

In the second stage: random-effects model meta-analysis, Restricted Maximum Likelihood with the Hartung-Knapp-Sidik-Jonkman method

al or national

studies, case-control



Main Finding

OCS could be beneficial for short-term outcomes for acute wheeze in preschool children, especially those with moderate-to-severe wheeze and risk factors. However, OCS did not appear to have long-term benefits.



Change in WSS in 4 hours Full adjusted* Change in WSS in 12 hours

Full adjusted*

Length of stay (hrs)

Full adjusted* **Revisit to GP/ED**

Full adjusted*

Rehospitalisation

Full adjusted*

Additional steroids

Full adjusted*

Time back to normal (days)

Full adjusted* Doses of SABA in 7 days Full adjusted*

Doses of SABA in 14 days Full adjusted*

- results.

CSONKA, P., KAILA, M., LAIPPALA, P., ISO-MUSTAJARVI, M., VESIKARI, T. & ASHORN, P. 2003. Oral prednisolone in the acute management of children age 6 to 35 months with viral respiratory infection-induced lower airway disease: A randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Journal of Pediatrics*, 143, 725-730. 2. FOSTER, S. J., COOPER, M. N., OOSTERHOF, S. & BORLAND, M. L. 2018. Oral prednisolone in preschool children with virus-associated wheeze: a prospective,

randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine*, 6, 97-106. 3. JARTTI, T., LEHTINEN, P., VANTO, T., HARTIALA, J., VUORINEN, T., MAKELA, M. J. & RUUSKANEN, O. 2006. Evaluation of the efficacy of prednisolone in early wheezing induced by rhinovirus or respiratory syncytial virus. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal*, 25, 482-488.

4. JARTTI, T., NIEMINEN, R., VUORINEN, T., LEHTINEN, P., VAHLBERG, T., GERN, J., CAMARGO, C. A. & RUUSKANEN, O. 2015. Short- and long-term efficacy of prednisolone for first acute rhinovirus-induced wheezing episode. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, 135, 691.

5. OOMMEN, A., LAMBERT, P. C. & GRIGG, J. 2003. Efficacy of a short course of parent-initiated oral prednisolone for viral wheeze in children aged 1-5 years: Randomised controlled trial. Lancet, 362, 1433-1438.

6. PANICKAR, J., LAKHANPAUL, M., LAMBERT, P. C., KENIA, P., STEPHENSON, T., SMYTH, A. & GRIGG, J. 2009. Oral prednisolone for preschool children with acute virus-induced wheezing. New England Journal of Medicine, 360, 329-338.

7. Guilbert TW, Bacharier LB, Mauger DT, Phipatanakul W, Szefler SJ, Boehmer S, et al. Challenges in assessing the efficacy of systemic corticosteroids for severe wheezing episodes in preschool children. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2019;143(5):1934-7.e4.

Results

Groups (n/N or mean[SD])		Number of	Combined odds ratio (OR†) or mean	12 (07)	- 2
OCS	Placebo	(total patients)	difference (MD‡)	12 (%)	lau ²
(n=909)	(n=914)		(95% CI)		
N=282	N=246				
-1.94 (2.15)	-1.63 (2.02)	2 (n=528)	-0.31 [-0.37 to -0.24] ‡	0.0	< 0.001
N=232	N=240				
-2.52 (2.35)	-2.43 (2.46)	3 (n=472)	-0.02 [-0.17 to 0.14] ‡	0.0	< 0.001
N=731	N=721				
18.0 (26.0)	21.9 (28.0)	5 (n=1452)	-3.18 [-4.43 to -1.93] ‡	0.0	<0.001
N=779	N=819				
189/779	185/819	7 (n=1598)	1.11 [0.86 to 1.43] †	0.0	<0.001
N=701	N=705				
51/701	49/705	5 (n=1406)	0.94 [0.38 to 2.32] †	36.2	0.21
N=724	N=756				
40/724	59/756	7 (n=1480)	0.71 [0.39 to 1.28] †	0.0	0.05
N=451	N=469				
4.56 (3.92)	5.04 (4.13)	5 (n=920)	-0.64 [-1.76 to 0.49] ‡	58.9	0.31
N=210	N=236				
19.05 (28.42)	22.44 (38.15)	2 (n=446)	0.34 [-7.09 to 7.76] ‡	0.0	<0.001
N=136	N=153				
31.02 (47.12)	39.26 (62.30)	2 (n=289)	-4.75 [-6.71 to -2.79] ‡	0.0	<0.001

• OCS was associated with the improvement in wheezing severity score at 4 hours, not 12 hours and a significant reduction in length of hospital stay (hrs) • Sensitivity analysis including studies not providing IPD did not change the

• Subgroup analyses showed evidence of significantly improved outcomes in children with moderate-to-severe wheeze and previous wheezing/asthma.

Conflict of interest

All authors have nothing to disclose.

References