Social media as a health promotion tool during the mpox outbreak in the UK

Garcia-Iglesias, Jaime¹; May, Tom²; Pickersgill, Martyn¹; Williams, Jeremy³; Nagington, Maurice⁴; Buijsen, Sophie⁵; McHugh, Ciara⁶; Horwood, Jeremy²





Abstract



Objectives: Explore the use of social media as a tool of health promotion during the 2022-23 mpox outbreak in the UK and identify key lessons for future epidemic and pandemic



Methods: Qualitative online focus groups and interviews with stakeholders (N=29). Data were subject to framework analysis.



Results: Participants emphasized the role of social media in the response to mpox. They highlighted several benefits (dissemination of relevant information, tackling stigma, and advancing advocacy and collaboration) and challenges (reliance on pre-existing networks, dynamics of exclusion, and



Conclusion: Social media played an important role in informal and purposive health promotion during the 2022-23 mpox outbreak while also presenting significant challenges regarding misinformation and exclusion. Preparedness for outbreaks must consider social media as key tools for the dissemination of messages, and for real-time collaborating on message development. Attention should also be paid to the inclusion of underserved groups.

Background

- Since May 2022 non-endemic countries have experienced **mpox** outbreaks declared as public health emergencies (1,2). As of June 6, 2023, 87,929 cases have been confirmed worldwide.
- Social media played a key role in the response to the Mpox outbreak in the UK and the world.
- The use of social media for health promotion has been described as simultaneously a "unique opportunity for public health" (3) and the "defining public health challenge of this
- In the UK, there is ample experience of the importance of **social media** in health promotion gained through long-term HIV promotion and COVID-19 (5-7).
- Research has found that social media has several benefits and drawbacks:

Foster collaboration and engagement, support community, platform for advocacy, personal experience ^[6-10]

Drawbacks

"Wild west for health information" ^[6, p.4] and "infodemic" ^[5, p.1393]

Overall, there is no clear agreement that supports the effectiveness of social media to improve public health outcomes and trends" (8).

Project and methods

- A qualitative study based on four online focus groups and seven semi-structured interviews with key stakeholder groups
- Framework analysis (9) used to organise data in areas of focus: Healthcare, Vaccination, Communications, Stigma, Experiences, and Other

Check our full report:

Responding to Mpox: Communities, Communication, and Infrastructure





Stakeholder groups

Findings

Benefits

Information dissemination

In the absence of reliable Government information about mpox, social media allowed for rapid dissemination of information, including vaccination:

The massive lines for our at-risk communities to get vaccinated are an example of how social media got the word out and got people in line and ready.



Tackling stigma

Participants with lived experience of mpox used social media to tackle stigma at the personal level:

You'd see people with symptoms [...] talking about their own experiences [...]. People felt more comfortable with that information. (participant w/ lived experience)



Advocacy and collaboration

Social media facilitated collaboration to develop community advocacy:

We had informal links with quite a few community members who are very active on social media, so we messaged them with information for them to share.



Drawbacks

Reliance on networks

Effective use of social media relied on pre-existing social networks and audiences.

not having a massive audience on Twitter [...] made it difficult to put out our own messages [...] it is quite hard to reach people. (clinician)



Dynamics of exclusion

Relying on community members to disseminate messages organically to their networks via social media deepened inequalities.

people who were already connected could find the information they needed but others, who wouldn't be looped in those networks, wouldn't think it was relevant to then (policy actor)

Misinformation

At the same time, social media also facilitated the appearance of an "infodemic" (10) where accurate and misleading or inaccurate information was widely spread or foregrounded by platform algorithms



Key takeaways

- Effective mobilization of social media in the response to mpox relied on the availability of pre-existing audiences or networks in those platforms.
- The absence of audiences made it difficult—when not impossible—for organizations to reach their intended publics via social media.
- Participants' experiences point to concerning dynamics of exclusion: responses not only relied on preexisting networks (as we just argued) but went further to entrench those audiences.

Recommendations

- Preparedness for outbreaks of infectious diseases such as mpox must consider the role of social media as key tools for collaborating on message development and the dissemination of information.
- Organizations should allocate funding to developing their social media skills and audiences at a strategic level. This may include developing content, identifying partners, or building relationships that may be deployed during an outbreak.
- Special attention should also be paid to ensuring that audiences and collaboration are built that promote inclusion of underserved groups.

Works cited
1. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Biden-Harris Administration Bolsters Monkeypox Response; HHS Secretary Becerra Declares
Public Health Emergency. 2022 Aug 4; Available from: https://www.hhs.gov/about/news/2022/08/04/biden-harris-administration-bolstersmonkeypox-response-hhs-secretary-becerra-declares-public-health-emergency.html
2. World Health Organization. WHO Director-General declares the ongoing monkeypox outbreak a Public Health Emergency of International
Concern. 2022 Jul 23; Available from: https://www.who.int/europe/news/irem/23-07-2022-who-director-general-declares-the-ongoingmonkeypox-outbreak-a-public-health-event-of-international-concernel--text-on-luly 224/2C the WHO, in the WHO European Region.
Note of the WHO and the WHO European Region.
Digital Health Communication. Am J Public Health [Internet]. 2019 Feb; 109(2):221-13. Available from:
https://ajph.aphapublications.org/doi/full/10.2105/A.PH.2018.304806
4. Allegrante JP, Audi ME. Advancing the Promise of Digital Technology and Social Media to Promote Population Health. Heal Educ Behav
Internet. 2019 Dec 19-9462; 20xplS-58-8. Available from: https://gipp.nets.apsepub.com/doi/10.1117/11091/981198785279

[Internet]. 2019 Dec 19;46(2, suppl):55-85. Available from: http://inurnals.sanepub.com/doi/10.1177/1091198119875929
5. Schwartz J, Grimm J. PriP on Writter: Information, Barriers, and Stigma. Health Commun [Internet]. 2017 Apr 3;32(4):509-16. Available from: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/id/I/10.1090/10101203.2016.1140271
6. Taggart T, Grewe ME, Conserve DF, Gliwa C, Roman Isler M. Social Media and HIV: A Systematic Review of Uses of Social Media in HIV

https://bmcmedresmethodol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2288-13-117

10. World Health Organization. Infodemic [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Jun 6]. Available from: https://www.who.int/health

1. Centre for Biomedicine, Self and Society, Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh; 2. NIHR Health Protection Research Unit in Behavioural Science and Evaluation, University of Bristol; 3. International Public Policy Observatory, University College London; 4. School of Health Sciences, University of Manchester; 5. Science, Technology, and Innovation Studies, School of Social and Political Science, University of Edinburgh; 6. Mitchell Institute for Global Peace, Security and Justice, Queens University Belfast.

With the collaboration of:











Social media as a health promotion tool during the mpox outbreak in the UK

Garcia-Iglesias, Jaime¹; May, Tom²; Pickersgill, Martyn¹; Williams, Jeremy³; Nagington, Maurice⁴; Buijsen, Sophie⁵; McHugh, Ciara⁶; Horwood, Jeremy⁶





Abstract



Objectives: Explore the use of social media as a tool of health promotion during the 2022-23 mpox outbreak in the UK and identify key lessons for future epidemic and pandemic preparedness.



Methods: Qualitative online focus groups and interviews with stakeholders (N=29). Data were subject to framework analysis.



Results: Participants emphasized the role of social media in the response to mpox. They highlighted several benefits (dissemination of relevant information, tackling stigma, and advancing advocacy and collaboration) and challenges (reliance on preexisting networks, dynamics of exclusion, and misinformation).



Conclusion: Social media played an important role in informal and purposive health promotion during the 2022-23 mpox outbreak while also presenting significant challenges regarding misinformation and exclusion. Preparedness for outbreaks must consider social media as key tools for the dissemination of messages, and for realtime collaborating on message development. Attention should also be paid to the inclusion of underserved groups.

Check our full report:

Responding to Mpox: Communities, Communication, and Infrastructure





Affiliations

1. Centre for Biomedicine, Self and Society, Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh; 2. NIHR Health Protection Research Unit in Behavioural Science and Evaluation, University of Bristol;
3. International Public Policy Observatory, University College London; 4. School of Health Sciences, University of Manchester, 5. Science, Technology, and Innovation Studies, School of Social and Political Science, University of Edinburgh; 6. Mitchell Institute for Global Peace, Security and Justice, Queens University Belfast.







