#### **Sustainable Laboratories Steering Group (SLSG)**

#### Monday 12th December 2016, 3pm

#### **Cuillin Room, Charles Stewart House**

#### **AGENDA**

Welcome, Introductions, Purpose and Aims of Meeting
The Director of SRS will outline the programme for the session

2 Minute A

To <u>approve</u> the minute of the previous meeting on 14 September 2016

3 Matters Arising

To raise any matters arising not covered on the agenda or in post-meeting notes.

#### **SUBSTANTIVE ITEMS**

4 Energy audits in lab buildings – main findings
To receive a presentation from the Projects Coordinator (Labs)

10 <u>1000,10</u> a procentation nom the respecte occidentation (2009)

Verbal

5 Sustainable Campus Fund lab projects
To <u>receive</u> a presentation from the Engagement Manager

6 Progress against Labs Implementation Plan B

To <u>discuss</u> and <u>endorse</u> a paper from the Projects Coordinator (Labs)

7 Sustainable Labs Vision and Programme Plan
To <u>receive</u> and <u>discuss</u> a paper from the Engagement Manager on medium term

planning for the sustainable labs programme and how to interpret this into annual Sustainable Labs Implementation Plans

8 Extension of Labs Implementation Plan to August 2017

Verbal

C

To <u>receive</u> and <u>discuss</u> a verbal update from the Engagement Manager and Projects Coordinator (Labs) on aligning timing with academic year, and subsequent adjustment of targets

9 Working with People Committee, HR and IAD to improve support for Technical staff

Verbal

To receive a verbal update from the Projects Coordinator (Labs)

#### **ROUTINE ITEMS (verbal)**

#### 10 Any Other Business

To consider any other matters from Group members.

# A

B

#### UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH

**MINUTE OF A MEETING** of the Sustainable Laboratories Steering Group held in the Cuillin Room, Charles Stewart House on Wednesday 14 September 2016.

#### 1 Welcome and Introductions

The Convener welcomed attendees to the fifth meeting of the Group and outlined the agenda for the session.

2 Minute A

The minute of the meeting held on 22 March 2015 was approved as a correct record.

#### 3 Matters Arising

There were no matters arising from the previous minutes.

#### SUBSTANTIVE ITEMS

#### 4 Vision Statement & Metrics

The Labs Sustainability Coordinator presented the Vision Statement which would communicate the aims of the Group to a variety of stakeholders. Vision statements for SLSG and for the labs sustainability programme had been merged into a single document. Advising caution around use of terms such as 'world leading', SLSG agreed that the essence of the statement was correct, but suggested that it be expressed more succinctly, and that a follow-up implementation statement be provided outlining how the vision was going to be achieved.

<u>Action – All</u> members to send any further comments to AA.

#### 5 Sustainable Labs Programme: 3 Year Plan

The Engagement Manager presented on plans to map activity and work more effectively on sustainability in labs across the University, setting goals and identifying risks and indicators such as awards, carbon emissions, supply chain data, design guidelines, and management practices.

<u>Action – CO</u> to circulate a draft for views by the end of September.

Key outcomes included contributing to a 10% reduction in energy consumption, increase in equipment sharing, reuse and correct disposal of waste, and reduction in overall consumption, particularly of hazardous materials.

Planned activities included: peer learning, campus meetings, SLSG meetings, the labs design guide, testing the sustainability credentials of equipment, promoting collaborative working and communication between labs to save on mechanical plant and avoid duplication, supporting projects (DNA, lighting, freezers) and lab technical staff, publishing results, developing criteria to guide best practice, recognition of good practice and fostering competition to spread positive behaviours, continuing to gather a body of evidence and supporting development of a chemical management system. The Group recognised that most labs shared equipment to some degree, but this was not currently being captured, and an incentivised approach was needed. Work on supply chains was ongoing, building on the existing SPPT process, and a simple chart had been produced to break down items in labs.

#### 6 Lab Equipment Resale Options

Potential opportunities were being investigated around UniGreenScheme, who provide an asset resale service for universities, storing excess equipment and splitting the profit when it sells. This would allow the University to reclaim space and value which could be diverted into other costs of research. Moving ahead would require a procurement process, inviting other organisations to tender, including CCL North and Mitie. The precise detail of the tender would be influenced by the value of the equipment involved. SRS, Procurement and Waste were working to find the best way forward. Though the resale value of equipment involved a significant drop, lab users attending workshops frequently advocated for alternatives to throwing away functioning equipment.

UoE would continue to seek opportunities for internal reuse in the first instance, then pathways for resale through existing University networks such as EAUC. There were legislative obligations around reuse built in to the current contract with CCL North, and it would be preferable for external partnerships to operate through them. These partnership opportunities would be raised with CCL North at a meeting in October.

SLSG noted concerns that there was still no internal system to flag available equipment before it left the University, though Warp-it could be further developed to serve this function. More work was needed to understand barriers to reuse and what could be done to improve it and increase uptake. Internal reuse had no additional health and safety implications. Members agreed on another push to promote Warp-it, and that it would be included in the University Waste Policy. The Group recognised that storage was a massive issue. It was proposed that a recycling channel on MyEd be investigated.

<u>Action – CO & AP</u> to look into promoting or potentially relaunching Warp-it, and establishing a list of contacts at the right level, and report back on next steps in December.

#### 7 Estates Development Guidance

The Labs Sustainability Coordinator updated the Group on work with Estate Development and ECCI over the last 6 to 9 months to develop guidelines on how the University should approach new builds and refurbishments, distilling down global best practice and clarifying relevant rating schemes.

On 23 August staff from Estates and SRS met with Peter James of S-Lab to look at 12 priority areas, distilled down to 5 principles, to include in these guidelines. A small number of KPIs were identified, including CO<sub>2</sub> per m<sup>2</sup> per year. The T46 Sustainability Strategy form needed to be updated as it currently referenced an old version of the building standards and needed to reflect new legal structures and guidelines.

A range of stakeholders would be consulted to ensure building design was appropriate for users, easy to maintain, and that the basics were right, including use of passive ventilation systems where possible. Much of this was already covered under Section 6 of the Scottish building regulations. The guidelines would dovetail with current procedures. The Labs Coordinator would present interim

C

findings at the S-Lab Conference, seek input from attendees, and update the document.

<u>Action – AA</u> to circulate the latest version of the guidelines to the Group.

<u>Action – All</u> members to send their feedback on the document to AA.

SLSG stressed the need to ensure that all work ongoing to deliver sustainable buildings was aligned.

#### **ROUTINE ITEMS**

#### 8 Sustainable Campus Fund

Estates Committee had approved the Fund as an internal investment vehicle to implement energy efficiency projects that generated cost savings. SRS were working with Estates to develop an online paperless system to manage the pipeline of projects coming forward. Projects were pre-screened via the Utilities Working Group. Following a soft launch in August there had been 17 expressions of interest, resulting in 11 applications, 9 of which went forward to the Directors of Estates and SRS for sign off. A few were micro projects and a portion of the fund would be set aside to cover these. Sustainable Campus Fund Roadshows were planned across the University estate in October.

Action – All members with ideas for projects to get in touch with CO.

#### 9 Expansion of Engagement

The number of people regularly in touch on lab sustainability was fairly constant, and SRS were always looking for more active participants to engage with on a regular basis to push sustainable behaviours and expand their network. There had been fewer applicants for the lab awards, raising concerns that the process was too time-consuming, and as a result it had been deliberately streamlined. SRS regularly had a stall at new staff events. A bespoke engagement project was ongoing with Louise Horsfall, PI at Roger Land, to monitor and meter three lab space over 3 months, with a face-to-face presentation in the third month sharing the energy data (discussed under item 12).

<u>Action – All</u> members with other labs to nominate, particularly if they had not been the subject of much engagement to date, to proposed them to AA, and share any further ideas on how to promote sustainable behaviours in labs.

It was suggested that School Forums or inductions be targeted to increase engagement, and that the Labs Sustainability Coordinator could present at routine lab meetings. Be Sustainable online training could be offered on Learn, and the physical guide could be used to produce posters. Workshop numbers meant that these were not always viable. Engagement with postdocs offered a good in-road into buildings, including engagement through postdoc societies. It was anticipated that funding bodies would soon start to look into the sustainability of labs.

<u>Action – All members with meetings SRS could present at to get in touch with AA.</u>

#### 10 Energy Audits

The Engagement Manager updated the Group on a number of interlinking energy projects. There were 115 Energy Coordinators across the University estate. Quarterly workshops were held and other support was provided. SRS were working with Estates to take a deeper dive into 17 locations identified for energy savings, including walkarounds, stimulating activity, and getting teams to participate in the

Sustainability Awards where it would add value. Initial engagements were underway at Teviot quad, Hugh Robson, Roslin, QMRI, SCRM and Joseph Black. There were challenges around the energy data for Joseph Black. Most initial walkarounds were complete and potential links to the Sustainable Campus Fund had been identified. The Engagement team were also looking beyond the 17 locations for energy saving ideas. A series of lighting projects had been identified and further insulating and draft-proofing work was needed.

The Group discussed the use of intelligent systems that could protect the fabric of buildings at 10 degrees (which could be reduced to 8). It may be worth carrying out a check on all buildings. In some the issue was installation of equipment that the building had not been designed for.

Further analysis of the BEMS should be carried out to better align with building schedules. A new helpdesk had been created in Estates Operations which should include a BMS operator who understood and could interrogate the system and change the schedule based on building activity. These activities should result in energy savings, the issue would be how to measure it.

<u>Action – CO</u> to provide a further update at the next meeting.

#### 11 The Sustainable Public Procurement Prioritisation Tool

The tool was currently being tested by the University, along with other public sector bodies, to better understand risks and opportunities. Procurement had prioritised certain categories and were working with SRS on an initial scan. A small group was being put together to develop initial thoughts on the main environmental and social impacts of lab purchases, including equipment, solvents, sharps, and biological agents, down supply chains and up to the point of disposal. Outcomes would be recorded in the Scottish Government spreadsheet and would be available to share with the Group by the end of the year. There would also be a briefing output, which Procurement would use as part of purchasing guidance.

#### 12 Lab Energy Monitoring Project

Energy usage in Louise Horsfall's lab in Biology was being monitored using clip-on power meters. Initial results had been very interesting, and final consumption figures would be available at the end of September. It would be useful to have another lab to compare. The HGU, which had been refurbished 5 years ago, would be one option.

Action – AA to follow up with Stewart McKay at HGU.

The monitored lab spaces at Roger Land were quite small. For bigger labs distribution boards could be used to measure what staff were trying to change, either on the basis of one lab or a whole floor, depending on how the unit was organised, to see the impact engagement was having. The unit would be given tips on energy saving behaviours, backed up by quality data, with any impact on consumption monitored.

<u>Action – AA</u> to present the findings back to the Group.

There would be an Enhance project running over one year working with a UoE building, probably a lab, providing an opportunity to carry out a living lab project in that space designing some sort of digital innovation to help reduce energy use.

Action – All members with ideas to follow up with Evan Morgan.



#### Sustainable Labs Steering Group (SLSG)

#### 12<sup>th</sup> December 2016

#### 2016 Sustainable Labs Implementation Plan Progress Report

#### **Description of paper**

This document is intended to give an update on progress against the objectives of the 2016 Sustainable Laboratories Implementation Plan, which was drawn up to provide a structured approach to improving sustainability within laboratories at the University of Edinburgh in 2016. A traffic-light system (RAG) has been used to communicate quickly and clearly the progress which has been or is being made. In general this is taken to mean: green = on track, amber = delayed or problematic, red = objective is in danger of not being met. Further details on the progress against each individual action is included within the comments column. This document will be updated prior to each meeting of the Sustainable Laboratories Steering Group.

#### **Action requested**

The Sustainable Labs Steering Group is asked to note the progress identified within this document, and, where relevant, provide advice and guidance to improve matters going forward.

#### Recommendation

It is proposed that an interim plan or extension of the 2016 plan be developed by the SRS Projects Coordinator (Labs) in collaboration with the SLSG to cover the period January – July 2017, and following that all future plans should be aligned to the academic calendar.

#### **Background and context**

The 2016 Sustainable Laboratories Implementation Plan was developed in January 2016 after consultation with the SLSG in December 2015. It leads on from the 2015 Implementation Plan and seeks to build on the successes of that initial phase of operation of the SLSG, expanding and deepening the reach and influence of sustainable laboratories projects and programmes across the University of Edinburgh.



## В

### **Programme Overview**

Area	Objective	КРІ	Comments	Progress (RAG)
A. Operational savings	Support the delivery of projects which result in reduced environmental and financial costs.	Savings in: £, tonnes CO2e, kWh, tonnes waste.	SCF labs projects with funding approved are predicted to give annual savings of: £74k, 447tonnesCO <sub>2</sub> e, 2,745,000kWh.  A solvent purification system was installed at Joseph Black but not funded by the SCF. This is predicted to give annual savings of: £3k - £5.5k, 10-25tonnesCO <sub>2</sub> e, 20,000 - 45,000kWh, 60litres annually of waste solvent.	
B. Lab Design and Construction	To ensure sustainability concerns are embedded within the processes of lab design and construction	Level and frequency of input from SRS into lab design and construction	SRS have been invited to input to 4 developments: IRR (Bioquarter), Geosciences, Biology, and QuarterMile. This has involved around 7 meeting invites.	
C. Data and Evidence	To gather, collate and develop evidence and data on the	Number of topics for which a body of evidence has been produced and made available to SLSG.	Via applications for the SCF, Energy Auditing and other activities evidence has been gathered on: Solvent purification, chillers vs water cooling,	

	effectiveness and consequences of various opportunities for efficiency improvements.		effectiveness of SRS engagement campaigns, LED lighting and controls, ventilated storage cupboards, LED microscope and dairy vacuum pumps.	
D. Engagement	To secure funding to support the continuation of sustainable laboratory work within the University of Edinburgh.	Amount of time the sustainable laboratories work is supported for after August 2017.	Responsibility for lab sustainability now forms the majority of a new permanent post, which will also incorporate energy efficiency and sustainable design.	
	To increase knowledge and awareness of sustainability actions among laboratory users.	Number of communications (events/presentations/talks/meetings/distribution of materials) between Labs Sustainability Coordinator and key laboratories personnel.	Newly active relationships now exist with the CBS team. Engagement with the Horsfall lab in Roger Land Building (SBS) has developed a good working relationship. SBS invited SRS to speak at their School forum. SRS also shared a stall with Printing Services at the Easter Bush suppliers' day.  However, large areas of the university's scientific estate are still not engaging regularly with SRS, and in some areas	The launching of the Climate Strategy and Sust. Campus Fund along with pre-existing regular engagements are planned to increase interactions.

	(Chancellor's in particular, and	Additional
	Little France in general)	efforts are
	engagement is weaker and less	being made
	frequent than previous years.	to engage
		with new
		people
		where old
		contacts are
		no longer
		active.

## Detailed review of the Sustainable Laboratories Implementation Plan 2016

Progress (RAG)	Tasks	Colleagues Responsible and	Comments (November 2016)
Objective A: Su	pport the delivery of projects w	Colleagues to Consult   Colleagues to Consult   Colleagues to Consult   Colleagues to Consult	nmental and financial costs.
Regular contact with key partners is being made to ensure this project continues at appropriate pace.	A1. Replace fume cupboards (constant air volume to variable air volume)	Responsible: Small Projects and Minor Works team (Robin McEwan). Andrew Arnott Procurement  To Consult: Rab Calder David Jack Technical Manager (Ron Brown) Premises/Zone manager (Jim Brown) Martin Crawford	£113,500 approved by SCF for fume cupboard retrofit of 16 fume cupboards in lab 34 and 26 fume cupboards in lab 29. This should now be managed by Estates and Procurement to implement.  If prices have risen significantly (for example as a result of the falling value of Sterling) additional funding may be required.
	A2. Change set point temperature of ULT freezers to -70°C	Responsible: Andrew Arnott Lab users To Consult: SLSG	Advice relating to ULT freezers at -70 is included within many of our SRS communications to lab users. As a result, anecdotally, a number of ULT freezers are running at -70 across the University of Edinburgh estate. Exact figures are not available at this time, but we are working on this for future.

Progress (RAG)	A3. Remove DNA from ULT freezers and alternative storage methods identified	Colleagues Responsible and Colleagues to Consult Responsible: Andrew Arnott Lab users To Consult: SLSG	Initial communications have been made with some key individuals across the University of Edinburgh who may be willing and able to help with a trial of room temperature storage techniques.
Discussions and evidence gathering is taking place in both SRS and Estates to investigate and alleviate concerns.	A4. Install demand based ventilation in relevant areas	Responsible: Andrew Arnott Rab Calder Estates Development/Small Works team SLSG Premises managers  To Consult: Health and Safety representatives Lab users	This is still to receive approval for SCF funding – concerns are based around single supplier issues.
All suppliers have now requoted with	A5. Implement a system for helium capture	Responsible: Juraj Bella	£24.5k approved by SCF for helium capture project (this is expected to be 50% of total project cost – School of Chemistry have agreed to provide match

Progress (RAG)	Tasks	Colleagues Responsible and	Comments (November 2016)
only marginal cost increase to £50,200. Final stage is for Chemistry to reconfirm they can cover the required amount. Regular communications with key partners to keep project on track.		John Kenmure  To Consult: Jim Brown Andrew Arnott	funding). Appropriate procurement processes should now be followed to lead to the implementation of this project. Renewed quotes have been sought to confirm (hopefully final) prices.  If prices have risen significantly (for example as a result of the falling value of Sterling) additional funding may be required.
	A6. Install a Solvent Purification System	Responsible: Michael Cowley Phil McDonald Jim Brown  To Consult: John Kenmure Andrew Arnott Rab Calder	School of Chemistry progressed this project on their own timescale using their own budget, rather than waiting for SCF funding. The equipment has been purchased but not yet installed as installation is dependent upon a lab clear-out/rearrangement, which has not yet happened.

Progress (RAG)	Tasks	Colleagues Responsible and	Comments (November 2016)
		Colleagues to Consult	
	A7. Access funds for	Responsible:	A ring-fenced fund within the SCF has been set up
	replacement of old	Andrew Arnott	to fast-track applications for replacement of
	inefficient lab equipment	Lab users	equipment with a value of under £10k.
		To Consult: SLSG Andy Kordiak/other Procurement representative	A second ring-fenced fund has been set up within the SCF for freezer replacement.
- "	AC Mark with lab many (a)		Laboration tion in the Edich week
Email	A8. Work with lab group(s) to undertake freezer	Responsible:	Lab groups participating in the Edinburgh
communications	inventory(ies) including	Andrew Arnott	Sustainability Awards are encouraged to undertake this basic good practice. Additional encouragement
and posters to all labs contacts	removal of redundant	Lab users	is provided to other labs via communications from
to encourage	contents.	To Consult:	the SRS communications department. Anecdotally,
freezer clear-		SLSG	practice is thought to be mixed.
outs as part of		0200	
winter shut			
down.			
If this has little			
or no impact we			
may need to			
consider if we			
can supply			
supporting			
resource (e.g.			

Progress (RAG)	Tasks	Colleagues Responsible and Colleagues to Consult	Comments (November 2016)
lab based		_	
summer interns,			
such as			
employed at			
Wellcome Trust			
CRF).			
LED lighting projects being re-submitted to Utilities Working Group for Sust. Campus Fund support based on revised financial model (10yr payback).	A9. Include a lighting replacement as part of a planned refurbishment	Responsible: Andrew Arnott Small Works Team Premises managers  To Consult: Lab users Rab Calder	Energy audits of the top 20 energy consuming buildings of the University of Edinburgh estate have highlighted a number of lighting replacement/upgrade/controls replacement projects which will be put forward for SCF funding once appropriate business cases have been written.  It is currently thought that many LED lighting projects would have a payback period of c.10 years, which exceeds the stated requirements of the SCF (8 years). However, a special case is being made for LED projects within the SCF and it is thought that a number will go ahead (but perhaps not all).
	A10. Conduct a trial/pilot project monitoring the impact of practical support and communications materials in a specific location.	Responsible: SRS Comms team Andrew Arnott Lab users (a lab which has not previously had much SRS engagement)  To Consult:	A project was completed at the Horsfall labs within Roger Land Building (SBS). Sadly there were failures of some monitoring equipment during the project, so the data is inconclusive.  A second project has been identified at IGMM and it is planned to use better quality monitoring equipment.

Progress (RAG)	Tasks	Colleagues Responsible and Colleagues to Consult	Comments (November 2016)
		David Jack SLSG	
We may need to revisit the support available from the Sust. Campus Fund as this type of action currently is not supported by SCF and thus falls entirely to the School/College.	A11. Identify funding to support replacing mercury lamps in microscopes with LED lamps.	Responsible: Andrew Arnott Stewart McKay (IGMM) Andy Kordiak  To Consult: SLSG	An application was put to the SCF for support for this, but it was declined. No alternative funding has yet been identified.
	A12. Work with suppliers and Procurement to identify opportunities to reduce environmental and financial costs (waste and operational).	Responsible: Andrew Arnott Andy Kordiak (and/or other representatives from Procurement)  To Consult: SLSG	The Sustainable Public Procurement Prioritisation Tool (SPPPT) has been implemented throughout 2016, which identifies the University of Edinburgh's main environmental and social impacts coming from procurement. This will then lead to a prioritised list of suppliers to engage with.

Progress (RAG)	Tasks	Colleagues Responsible and Colleagues to Consult	Comments (November 2016)
		<u> </u>	
Objective B: To	ensure sustainability concerns B1. Review and develop design and construction guidelines for new laboratories.	are embedded within the pro Responsible: Andrew Arnott Graham Bell (Others from Estates Development)	This major project will take a long time yet to complete, but is making progress. A draft guide has been written on how to develop sustainable laboratory buildings. Currently the project is paused while an external consultancy, Cundalls, provide their input.
		To Consult: SLSG Labs users	
Further work on this will be undertaken as part of the (currently paused)	B2. Gather data on a 'model' lab building	Responsible: Andrew Arnott Graham Bell To Consult:	Data will be gathered from a number of monitoring projects across the University of Edinburgh. This will hopefully provide information on average and typical energy consumption figures for different disciplines.
investigation into sustainable estates development and labs building design.		SLSG	

Progress (RAG)	Tasks	Colleagues Responsible and	Comments (November 2016)
		Colleagues to Consult	
	B3. Ensure Value	Responsible:	The integration of SRS into Estates Development
	Engineering strikes an	Graham Bell	practices and stages seems to be progressing well,
	appropriate balance	(Others from Estates	however it is unclear/hard to measure the impact
	between operational costs and capital expenditure.	Development)	this has had on Value Engineering decisions.
	and capital expenditure.		
		T 0 "	
		To Consult:	
		SLSG	
		Andrew Arnott	
Estates	B4. Ensure SLSG is made	Responsible:	It was agreed that Estates Development would
Development	aware of labs	Estates Development	provide a report upon request from SRS prior to
will be	developments in UoE (i.e.		SLSG meetings. At the time of writing no report had
requested to	closures, opening, refurb)		yet been received.
provide new			
contact(s) for		To Consult:	
this and/or		SLSG	
revise the		SRS (Comms Team)	
process by			
which this			
update is			
provided.			

Objective C: To gather, collate and develop evidence and data on the effectiveness and consequences of various opportunities for efficiency improvements.

Progress (RAG)	C1. Develop metrics for measuring success	Colleagues Responsible and Colleagues to Consult Responsible: Andrew Arnott Dave Gorman Michelle Brown To Consult: SLSG	Comments (November 2016)  These are incorporated into the new 1 and 3 year Programme Plan documents.
Data consolidation project via Estates Operations will be reviewing data and the systems required and piloting for further roll out.	C2. Energy metering at a building, floor or equipment level to see the impact of actions	Responsible: David Jack Andrew Arnott  To Consult: Lab users SLSG	At the time of writing little progress has been made on this in a permanent manner. Short term monitoring of a lab at Roger Land Building (KB – SBS) was implemented for 3 months over the summer, and further short-term monitoring is planned at IGMM in early 2017.
	C3. Report progress against the Implementation Plan to SLSG core group meetings (written report with RAG status)	Responsible: Andrew Arnott  To Consult: SLSG	As evidenced by this report.

Progress (RAG)	Tasks	Colleagues Responsible and Colleagues to Consult	Comments (November 2016)
Objective D: To	secure funding to support the	continuation of sustainable la	boratory work within the University of Edinburgh.
To increase kno	owledge and awareness of sust	ainability actions among labo	oratory users.
The launching of	D1. Identify gaps in	Responsible:	Substantial gaps still exist where no regular contact
the Climate	engagement across UoE,	Andrew Arnott	with SRS takes place – specifically Physics and
Strategy and Sust.	and work with Schools to		Engineering. Communications continue to be made
Campus Fund	address those gaps.	Caro Overy	but no breakthrough has yet occurred.
along with pre-	٠.		
existing regular		To Consult:	The main contact at Chancellor's Building has been
engagements are		To Consuit.	discouraged by her manager from spending much
planned to		SLSG	time on sustainability matters, so there is less
increase		3230	interaction there than in previous years. Contact
interactions.			with QMRI is also limited as only one person is
A 1 1111 1 CC 1			allocated to take responsibility for all sustainability
Additional efforts			actions, which is impractical with a building of the
are being made to engage with			size and complexity of QMRI. We are lucky to have
new people			representation from the Health and Safety advisor
where old			across both these sites, and he has provided some
contacts are no			form of continuity. However, in general, contact with
longer active.			Chancellor's and QMRI is now disappointingly low.
ionger detiver			
Advise is still			In contrast, contact is greater now than in previous
sought from SLSG			years with Biology (where we now have regular
on suggested			contact with a relatively large cohort of individuals),
approaches for			Chemistry and (CBS) Central Bioresearch Services.
further/deeper			Although not rean anaible for all of the impressed
engagement.			Although not responsible for all of the increased
			contact, it is felt (anecdotally) that the launch of a
			number of new support and funding mechanisms in

Progress (RAG)	Tasks	Colleagues Responsible and	Comments (November 2016)
		Colleagues to Consult	
			2016 has helped to encourage more people to actively engage with the sustainable labs programme.
	D2. Deliver labs workshops for specific networks or contacts groups (e.g. College specific meetings) in order to reach a greater number	Responsible: Andrew Arnott  To Consult:	Over the course of 2016 specific sustainability workshops/inductions/events were held at SBS School's Forum, Chemistry postgraduate induction, CBS staff presentation, Easter Bush Suppliers Day event.
	of lab users and receive a broader range of inputs/ideas/contributions.	Lab users	In addition, via a different mechanism (energy audits of the most energy consuming buildings in the university estate) inputs/ideas/contributions have been gained from a broad range of people in a range of locations.
As noted, an additional team at from SBS is hoped to take part next year,	D3. Increase labs participation in Edinburgh Sustainability Awards.	Responsible: Andrew Arnott Caro Overy	Numbers of participating teams have reduced this year from 10 to 7. Teams who participated last year but not this year are: Chancellor's Building Biology Teaching Organisation (contact retired)
and also another team		To Consult:	Peter Wilson Building (SRUC) SynthSys lab (Waddington Building)
(SynthSys) may be more able to participate again next year.  It is possible		SLSG	This year has seen the QMRI team change from just the Centre for Reproductive Health, to cover the whole building (although also changing from Silver to Bronze level). So it may be the case that we are reaching similar numbers of lab users.
that some large departments			We have also gained an SRUC team at Easter Bush (Biomarkers Lab).

Progress (RAG)	Tasks	Colleagues Responsible and Colleagues to Consult	Comments (November 2016)
with varying practices may apply as multiple teams (i.e. areas with good practice applying for Gold, while the remainder of the building is assessed for Silver).		Coneagues to Consuit	We hope that next year the Horsfall Labs (SBS) at Roger Land Building will take part, following on from their enthusiastic participation in the lab monitoring project in 2016 – perhaps also encouraging neighbouring labs to participate.  As with other areas of engagement, uptake is low in Physics and Engineering. Geosciences have reviewed the scheme and may consider it in future.
	D4. Communicate on labs sustainability and increase awareness.	Responsible: Andrew Arnott SRS Comms team To Consult: SLSG	As noted above, a number of events have been attended where lab sustainability awareness has been raised with new individuals. In addition new communication materials (posters, stickers, advice cards, etc) have been created and distributed. In addition, the Sustainable Campus Fund has provided another platform upon which we can describe our priorities for lab sustainability projects.
	D5. Consolidate experience into guides describing good practice in labs.	Responsible: Andrew Arnott To Consult: SLSG	This is happening in relation to estates development and a sustainable design guide for laboratory buildings, but in other areas (i.e. energy efficient equipment) it is felt that existing case studies and advice guides may be sufficient.

Progress (RAG)	Tasks	<b>Colleagues Responsible and</b>	Comments (November 2016)
		Colleagues to Consult	
			It would be interesting to hear if the SLSG feel there is any particular area lacking in SRS's published guidance.
	D6. Develop a Vision by which to communicate our aims to people who could fund work to continue the work to improve sustainability in labs.	Responsible: Andrew Arnott Dave Gorman Michelle Brown	A number of drafts and iterations of a Vision statement have been produced and SLSG are invited to review again during the December meeting to help identify/select a final wording.
		To Consult: SLSG	



#### **Resource implications**

See specific items for resource implications.

#### **Risk Management**

As noted above, some of the Sustainable Campus Fund projects budgets are based on costs quoted prior to the fall in the value of Sterling (subsequent to the EU Referendum vote in June 2016). There is a risk that purchase costs may rise, and as such business cases may need to be revisited – adding delay and cost.

There is also a risk from low and reduced engagement with the medical research and teaching buildings at Little France, specifically Chancellor's and QMRI. These buildings are some of the most energy intensive among the university's estate but rates of engagement and support for sustainability appear to be falling.

#### **Equality & Diversity**

No identified impact.

#### **Next steps/implications**

The 2016 Sustainable Labs implementation plan will continue to be followed until the end of 2016. It is proposed that an interim plan or extension of the 2016 plan be developed to cover the period January – July 2017, and following that all future plans should be aligned to the academic calendar.

#### Consultation

This paper has been reviewed by the SRS Engagement Manager, and SRS Head of Programmes.

#### **Further information**

Further information can be provided by Andrew Arnott, SRS Projects Coordinator (Labs).

#### Author and Presenter

Andrew Arnott, SRS Projects Coordinator (Labs). SRS
December 2016

#### **Freedom of Information**

This is an open paper.



# Sustainable Labs Steering Group Monday 12<sup>th</sup> December 2016 Sustainable Labs Programme Plan 2016-2019

#### **Description of paper**

The purpose of this paper is to update Sustainable Labs Steering Group on the three year Sustainable Labs programme developed by Department for Social Responsibility & Sustainability.

#### **Action requested**

Sustainable Labs Steering Group members are requested to <u>review</u> the paper and <u>provide</u> <u>any feedback or suggested amendments</u> as well as <u>suggestions</u> on how the group would like this reported in the future.

#### **Background**

The Department for Social Responsibility & Sustainability is in the process of updating its three year strategy, and as such is ensuring that all related programme documents reflect the aspirations of the Department and the wider University in these different areas. Below is the Programme Summary and Key Projects included in the Programme.

#### **Sustainable Labs Vision**

At the September meeting a single vision for Sustainable Labs at the University of Edinburgh was discussed.

"University of Edinburgh laboratories shall be international exemplars in energy, water and resource efficiency, and shall enable world class science teaching and research in a healthy working environment. The Sustainable Labs Steering Group shall be a respected and effective agent for change internally and externally. Our broad base of members shall work together with experts within the University of Edinburgh in a 'living labs' approach to identify and execute such changes as may be necessary to enable University of Edinburgh laboratories to be among the most sustainable globally. The aims and activities of the Group shall align with and complement the scientific and research aims of the University of Edinburgh."

**Sustainable Labs Programme Summary** 

SRS Department Priority Area:	Energy and Resource Efficiency
Programme Name:	Sustainable Labs
<b>Current Department</b>	Energy savings, number of Energy Coordinators/active
Objective(s):	champions
that this programme achieves	
Programme Goal for 2020:	University of Edinburgh laboratories shall be recognised as
	among the most sustainable globally.

#### Wider University Strategic Priorities that this programme contributes towards:

Vision "As a truly global University, rooted in Scotland's capital city, we make a significant, sustainable and socially responsible contribution to the world" and City – "meet the needs of researchers, with sustainable labs and room for collaboration and innovation

Indicators	2016 Performance Status	2020 Targets (Draft)
Currently in use	Sustainable Labs Implementation Plan 2016	
Proposed other:		
Number of utilities efficiency projects identified through labs at project development stage or beyond/£ & CO2e savings from projects	10 projects with £109,686/525tCO2e annual savings	Monitor 2016/17 and target for subsequent years
Labs design guidance complete	Complete November 2016	Embedded into practice
Number of local quantitative tests on sustainability	1 carried out	Complete 4 per year
Coverage of Labs programme in number of people	To be measured	Monitor 2016/17 and target for subsequent years
Number of Energy Coordinators in labs	To be measured	Monitor 2016/17 and target for subsequent years

Programme Summary	Summary	Indicators	Means of Verification (how to measure)	Risks / Assumptions
Goal	Among the most sustainable globally.  Low carbon through optimised utilities use and maximised reuse.  Understanding of SRS issues in labs supply chain.  Sustainably designed and managed labs which enable and promote world-class science.	Awards (local, national and global)  University carbon emissions figures and reuse figures for labs commodity  Approach to labs within Procurement Strategy and evidence of action among suppliers  Actions taken within development projects in lab areas		Assumptions Increasingly accurate carbon emissions reporting and related data availability  Continued engagement with suppliers and action on labs procurement  Continued prioritisation of sustainability within Development projects
Outcome Objectives	10% reduction in energy consumption.	Number of utilities efficiency projects identified through	Energy data	Risks

	Reuse and Equipment Sharing  Reduced consumption of materials, especially hazardous materials.  Enable culture of sustainable working through provision of support and training for lab technicians.	labs at project development stage or beyond/£ & CO2e savings from projects Lab based energy coordinators in all labs buildings  Participation in WARPit and/or authorised external reuse scheme.  Long term easily accessed funding mechanism for projects with good business case.  Support in place to enable positive working culture and sustainable practices for lab technical staff  Processes reviewed to identify substitution opportunities to	Sustainable Campus Fund reporting Reuse and equipment sharing data	Misinterpreted goals of SCF and/or revenue versus capital.  Procurement/legal issues with reuse/sharing/resale.  Assumptions Buy in from all necessary people to widen engagement.  Volunteer labs required to take part in substitution identification.
Outputs (topline only can be described in projects below)	Increased reach.  Design guidelines which fit into the working practices and processes and are used by Est Dev project managers etc regularly as a 'key text'.  Operational guidelines (S-Lab/NUS) embedded within practice  Case studies in house (living labs)	reduce hazardous materials.  Relationship with majority of labs across UoE.  % of labs staff across the uni engaging with SRS.  By 2020 every building with labs will have an energy coordinator who is lab-based.  Design guidelines used in all developments with labs.	Mapping of labs and participation across UoE  Number and spread of Energy Coordinators  Development project records	Risks Increased demands on staff time from all angles could impact capacity of staff to engage in programmes  Assumptions Demand based ventilation is appropriate technology to achieve reduced energy consumption through air changes (to be tested and evaluated against alternatives)

Activities (topline only)	projects  Demand based ventilation in place.  Conclusion of haz.chem. substitution project(s).  Peer learning (via awards and workshops)  Campus meetings  SLSG  Labs design guidelines  Test equipment for 'sustainability' credentials  Support lab based sustainability projects (DNA, lighting, freezers)  Publish and promote our results  Criteria for 'good	Number of Lab Awards participants  Number of people reached through local meetings and events  Test data for case studies  Studies published and communications circulated	Risks Increasing demands on staff time could impact capacity for involvement in engagement initiatives  Assumptions Optimised data gathering and analysis for tests and case studies  There is room for improvement on substitution of hazardous chemicals
	Publish and promote our results  Criteria for 'good labs' (linked to		
	awards)  Recognition of good practice.  Haz.chem. substitution opportunities identification.		

- Sustainable Labs Steering Group
- Lab users and technical staff
- Estates Operations colleagues
- Estates Development colleagues

#### **Equality & Diversity**

Due consideration has been given to equality and diversity as a key element of the SRS agenda.

#### **Further information**

Paper prepared by Caro Overy and Andrew Arnott with input from feedback previously gathered from Sustainable Labs Steering Group and Michelle Brown (Head of SRS Programmes)

Presented by: Caro Overy, Engagement Manager

Freedom of Information This is an open paper.